

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 122/18 3364 10/664,233 09/17/2003 Andrew D. Park **EXAMINER** 36829 7590 12/13/2004 SCHWARTZ LAW FIRM, P.C. CHAMBERS, TROY 6100 FAIRVIEW ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 530 CHARLOTTE, NC 28210 3641

**DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ol
Office Action Summary		10/664,233	PARK ET AL.	)W
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Troy Chambers	3641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 C	October 2004.		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,7 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,8-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers			`
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>				
Prioritý ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s)  Dee of References Cited (PTO-892)  Dee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  The results of the process of the content of	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/664,233

Art Unit: 3641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 6, 7 and 16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/22/04.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of the Species requirement in the reply filed on 10/22/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search would not be a serious burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because the restriction was proper and in accordance with the MPEP. The applicant declaring the various species obvious variants of one another may withdraw the restriction.
- 3. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Specifically, claims 12 and 19 require a fabric backing having a weight of less

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/664,233 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

than 5.1 pounds per square foot. In the specification this is related to "aerial density".

But, aerial density is used in reference to computer storage media capacity. The specification does not disclose how or in what manner weight relates to pounds per square feet or storage media capacity.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claims 12 and 19 require a fabric backing having a weight of less than 5.1 pounds per square foot. However, pounds per square feet are related to pressure (force/unit area). Weight, on the other hand, is related to pounds, which is a force, not a pressure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 13-15, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5635288 issued to Park. Park discloses a hard armor composite.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,233

Art Unit: 3641

7. With respect to claims 1, 8, 13 and 14, Park discloses a hard armor composite comprising: a rigid ceramic (col. 6, line 49) boron carbide (col. 6, line 54) facing 51; and ballistic fabric backing 25 carried by the facing. The ballistic fabric backing comprises an array of high-performance fibers (col. 2, II. 11-15) as shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Park defines "high performance" fibers as having a tensile strength greater than 7 gpd (col. 1, II. 45-47). The denier per filament (dpf) is disclosed as being 1.5.

Page 4

- 8. With respect to claim 2, refer to Figs. 3 and 4.
- 9. With respect to claim 3, refer to col. 4, II. 48-54.
- 10. With respect to claims 4, 5 and 15, Park discloses a means for adhering disclosed in col. 6, II. 51-53.
- 11. With respect to claims 9 and 20, refer to col. 2, Il. 11-15.
- 12. With respect to claims 10 and 17, refer to col. 6, line 53 that refers to a rigid ceramic plate.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 11, 12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Park in view of the mil-std disclosed by the applicant. In the admitted mil-std the applicant discloses that all SAPI sized have a uniform nominal areal density of 5.1 pounds per square foot or less. Park discloses a SAPI save for the thickness and

Application/Control Number: 10/664,233

Art Unit: 3641

combined "weight". At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to provide the thickness and "weight" claimed by the applicant. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to keep in compliance with the milstd for various sized SAPIs.

Page 5

### **Conclusion**

- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited on form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar hard armor composites.
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.